

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,215	03/26/2004	Jean-Michel Collomb	200209005-2	1936	
7590 07/03/2007 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			LEE, CHI HO A		
Fort Collins, C	= =		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			2616		
		,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	07/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			4			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/811,215	COLLOMB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Lee	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence addre)ss			
Period for Reply		E AMONITU(C) OR TURTY (20)	DAVO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (tatute, cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	26 March 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-30 is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	na/or election requiremen	ι.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) The oath of declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner, Note the att	actied Office Action of John PTO-	132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)			y auf			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
Notice of Dransperson's Fatent Brawing Review (170-340) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1, 2.	´′ 5) ☐ Noti	ice of Informal Patent Application er:	BX.			

Application/Control Number: 10/811,215

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 13-30 are objected to because of the following informalities: .
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 12 is missing. Claims 13-30 should be renumbered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 22-26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. "code" must be stored in computer readable medium to be statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 10-11, 13- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hamiti et al PG-PUB 2004/0047437 A1.

Re Claims 1, 13, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29 fig. 1 teaches a UE caller (first end-user) establishing a SIP session (a signaling plane... SIP) to the UE Callee (a second end-

Application/Control Number: 10/811,215

Art Unit: 2616

user); further teaches that the communication system supports RTCP to monitor QoS and gives information about the end-users of the session [0088]; fig. 9 teaches the header that include the QoS information [0080] to be shared by the network.

Re Claims 2, 5, 6, 7, refer to Claim 1, wherein fig. 9 includes plurality of parameters (signaling parameter & media transmission quality parameter).

Re Claims 10, 11, See UE device.

Re Claims 14, 23, refer to Claim 13, wherein the network includes a Server.

Re Claims 15, 16, 19, 20,24, 25, , refer to Claim 13, wherein SIP message is a set-up message.

Re Claims 17, 21, 26 refer to Claim 13, wherein the UE includes a display to display and GUI function for messages (QoS parameter).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamiti et al PG-PUB 2004/0047437 A1 in view of 2005/0094623.

Re Claims 3,.4, 30, Hamiti et al fails to explicitly teach, "a time taken between one invite... to first proxy... second proxy. However, '623 teaches that QoS parameter includes measuring the time for SIP signaling in the participating devices [0071]. One skilled in the art would have been motivated by '623 to measure the a time taken

Application/Control Number: 10/811,215

Art Unit: 2616

between the proxy for reliability. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled to combine the references.

Re Claims 8, 9, refer to Claim 1, wherein the QoS parameter includes packet loss.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Ex.